

Criteria for Peer Review of Proposals: HECU9

Single Paper Proposals

1. Relevance of the topic to the conference theme: “Contemporary Higher Education: Close-up research in times of change”.
2. Appropriateness and clarity of the analytical or theoretical framework underpinning the paper.
3. Clarity of the research questions or focus of enquiry - must entail ‘close-up’ rather than large scale research.
4. Appropriateness of research methods and literature used.
5. Reflexive discussion of the significance of the paper in context of conference theme.
6. Engagement with or expansion on the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers.

Symposium Proposals

1. Relevance of the topic to the conference theme: “Contemporary Higher Education: Close-up research in times of change”.
2. Explicit engagement with the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers
3. Coherence of the different elements of the symposium.
4. Appropriateness and clarity of the analytical or theoretical framework(s).
5. Clarity of the research questions or foci of enquiry.
6. Appropriateness of research methods and/or literature used.
7. Reflexive discussion of the significance of the papers and/or the symposium as a whole.
8. Effective use of the 90 minute time slot by all proposed speakers (including time allowed for questions at the end).